EECS 495: Randomized Algorithms Min Cut

Reading: Text: Chapter 1, Chapter 10

Take-Aways

Concepts/Techniques:

- Las Vegas vs Monte Carlo
 - Las Vegas, last week: RandQS always correct, running time variable
 - Monte Carlo, this week: Min-Cut always fast, solution may be incorrect
- Boosting correctness with repetition
- Reusing computation to reduce running time

Application:

• Global min-cut

Simple Min-Cut Alg

Given an (undirected) graph G = (V, E),

Def: A *multi-graph* is a graph with multiple edges between pairs of vertices.

Def: A *cut* in a graph is a set of edges whose removal results in G being broken into two or more connected components.

Def: A *minimum cut* is a cut of minimum cardinality.

Question: How can we find a min-cut?

Algorithm: Use LP-duality: min-s-t-cut equals max-s-t-flow, check all pairs of vertices.

Question: Can you describe the implementation fo this algorithm?

 $It's \ complicated!$

Goal: Simple algorithm for min-cut.

Idea: Min cuts have few edges \rightarrow contract random edges and hope to avoid hitting cut.

Algorithm: Randomized Global Min-Cut Repeat

- Pick edge (u, v) uniformly at random
- Merge (*contract* endpoints (u, v) to get new meta-vertex $z_{u,v}$
- Delete self-loop but keep multi-edges

Until only 2 meta-vertices remain. Output remaining edges.

Example: K_4 with one edge missing (square plus diagonal)

Claim: Alg outputs global min-cut with probability at least $1/\binom{n}{2}$.

Proof: Consider min-cut $F \subseteq E$ with |F| = k.

Fact: Degree of any vertex is at least k.

 $\begin{bmatrix} Else \ cut \ out \ vertex \ of \ smaller \ degree \ to \\ get \ smaller \ cut. \end{bmatrix}$

Let \mathcal{E}_i be event that edge contracted in step i is *not* in min-cut.

[[So these are the GOOD events for us.]]

Question: $\Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_1] = ?$

- by randomization, k/|E|
- twice # edges is sum of degrees, so by fact $|E| \ge nk/2$

Therefore, $\Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_1] \leq k/(nk/2) = 2/n$.

Question: $\Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_i | \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{i-1}] = ?$

Idea: So long as we don't contract an edge from F, F is a min-cut in contracted graph

[Because all cuts in contracted graph are] cuts in original graph.

Let G_i be contracted graph in *i*'th step given $\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$:

- number vertices is (n i + 1)
- min-cut in G_i has size = k (assuming $\mathcal{E}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$)
- number of edges is $\geq (n i + 1)k/2$

Therefore, $\Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_i | \mathcal{E}_1, \dots, \mathcal{E}_{i-1}] \leq 2/(n-i+1)$. Recall conditional probability:

Def: The *conditional probability* of \mathcal{E}_1 given \mathcal{E}_2 is

$$\Pr[\mathcal{E}_1|\mathcal{E}_2] = \Pr[\mathcal{E}_1 \wedge \mathcal{E}_2] / \Pr[\mathcal{E}_2]$$

Fact: For any set of (possibly dependent) events, the above gives

$$\Pr[\wedge_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{E}_{i}] = \Pr[\mathcal{E}_{1}] \Pr[\mathcal{E}_{2} | \mathcal{E}_{1}] \dots \Pr[\mathcal{E}_{n} | \wedge_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{E}_{i}]$$

Question: What's probability all good contractions?

$$\Pr[\text{GOOD}] = \Pr[\mathcal{E}_1 \land \ldots \land \mathcal{E}_{n-2}]$$

$$= (1 - \Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_{1}])(1 - \Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_{2}|\mathcal{E}_{1}) \dots$$

$$\geq (1 - \frac{2}{n})(1 - \frac{2}{n-1})(1 - \frac{2}{n-2})\dots(1 - \frac{2}{3})$$

$$= (\frac{n-2}{n})(\frac{n-3}{n-1})(\frac{n-4}{n-2})\dots(\frac{1}{3})$$

$$= \frac{2 \cdot 1}{n \cdot (n-1)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\binom{n}{2}}$$

)

Question: How to improve correctness? **Idea:** Run n^2 times:

$$\Pr[\text{MEGA GOOD}] = 1 - \Pr[\text{MEGA BAD}]$$
$$\Pr[\text{MEGA BAD}] \le (1 - \frac{1}{n^2})^{n^2} = \frac{1}{e}$$

(by independence)

$$[Run \ n^2 \log n \ times \ to \ get \ w.h.p.]]$$

Question: Running time?

- n loops
- *n* time per loop
- run alg $n^2 \log n$ times

so $O(n^4 \log n)$.

Improving Running Time

Recall **Algorithm:** Use LP-duality: min-st-cut equals max-s-t-flow, check all pairs of vertices.

Question: Running time?

- one max-flow: $O(mn\log(n^2/m))$
- naive implementation: $O(mn^3 \log(n^2/m))$
- better, can use just (n 1) flows: $O(mn^2 \log(n^2/m))$

• better yet, one max flow suffices: $O(mn \log(n^2/m))$

Note: Max flow yields min cut, but not clear how to go the other way.

Question: Can we solve min-s-t-cut faster than max-s-t-flow?

Don't know, but dropping s-t requirement,

Claim: Careful modification of global mincut runs in time $O(n^2 \log^{O(1)} n)$, much faster than max flow for dense graphs.

Idea: Alg unlikely to mess up at the beginning:

• $\Pr[\neg \mathcal{E}_1] \leq 2/n$

so repeating first step n^2 times is wasteful!

Claim: Suppose terminate alg when t vertices remain (as opposed to 2). Then min-cut survives with prob. \geq

$$\binom{t}{2} / \binom{n}{2} = \Omega((t/n)^2)$$

[[Just modify previous calculation.

Idea: Contract until t vertices remain, then use deterministic alg.

Problem: Deterministic alg complicated and too slow.

Idea: Use *two* invocations of randomized alg!

Algorithm: FastCut(G(V, E))

- $n \leftarrow |V|$
- if $n \leq 6$, compute min-cut of G by bruteforce
- else

$$-t \leftarrow \left\lceil (1+n/\sqrt{2}) \right\rceil$$

- perform two independent contraction-sequences to get two graphs H_1 and H_2 with tvertices each
- recursively compute min-cuts in H_1 and H_2
- return smaller cut

Intuition: binary computation tree.

Draw tree, root is G, for node H children are H_1 and H_2 .

Question: How many levels? about $2 \log n$

Question: How many leaves? about n^2

 $\begin{bmatrix} Note \ computation \ tree \ of \ simple \ alg \ is \\ like \ a \ star \ with \ n^2 \ leaves; \ hence \ speedup \\ not \ from \ solving \ fewer \ problems, \ but \ from \\ sharing \ work. \end{bmatrix}$

Claim: Alg runs in time $O(n^2 \log n)$. **Proof:** Recurrence is:

$$T(n) = 2T([(1 + n/\sqrt{2})]) + O(n^2)$$

and soln is as above.

Claim: Alg is correct with probability $\Omega(1/\log n)$.

 $\begin{bmatrix} Hence \ can \ repeat \ \log n \ times \ to \ get \ con-\\ stant \ probability \ of \ success \ at \ expense \ of \\ factor \ \log n \ in \ running \ time. \end{bmatrix}$

Proof: Suppose min-cut of G has size k.

Recursive call on H returns correct answer if

- cut of size k survives to H_1 (or H_2)
- AND recursive call on H_1 (or H_2) is correct

Question: What's prob. cut survives to H_1 ?

$$\lceil (1+t/\sqrt{2}) \rceil^2/t^2 \ge 1/2$$

by claim.

11

Let P(t) denote prob. alg succeeds for graph with t vertices:

$$P(t) \ge 1 - (1 - \frac{1}{2}P(\lceil (1 + t/\sqrt{2}) \rceil))^2$$

Change of variables: Let $k = \Theta(\log t)$ be depth of recursion, p(k) be lower-bound on success prob.

- p(0) = 1
- $p(k+1) = p(k) p(k)^2/4$ (subbing in to above eqn)

Further change of variables: q(k) = 4/p(k)-1or p(k) = 4/(q(k)+1)

$$q(k+1) = q(k) + 1 + \frac{1}{q(k)}$$

Soln (prove by induction):

$$k < q(k) < k + H_{k-1} + 3$$

where H_i is *i*'th harmonic number. Therefore:

$$q(k) = k + \Theta(\log k) \to p(k) = \Theta(1/k) \to P(t) = \Theta(1/\log t)$$

and results follows using t = n.

[Like branching processes, trying to see] prob. min-cut dies out in this birth process.